

United States Patent and Trademark Office

HL

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/718,071	11/21/2000	Jay C. Hsu	KCX-359 (15169)	1979
7590 10/06/2004			EXAMINER	
Jason W. Johnston,			YU, GINA C	
Dority & Mann	ing, P.A.	r		
PO Box 1449 Greenville, SC 29602-1449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617 DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/718,071	HSU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gina C. Yu	1617
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim In within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from The cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 J	une 2004.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under to	•	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,7-17,19-22,24-27,29,44 and 45 is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,7-17,19-22,24-27,29,44 and 45 is 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. s/are rejected.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	= : :	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Day 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ratent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of amendment to specification and response filed June 9, 2004. Claims 1-4, 7-17, 19-22, 24-27, 29, 44, 45 are pending. Claim rejection made under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) over Hammonds et al. (WO 98/03147) in view of Kryzak et al. (US 6440437), Sebillotte-Arnaud (US 5728389), and Potini (US 4944938), as indicated in the previous Office action dated February 10, 2004, is withdrawn in view of applicants' remarks. New rejection is made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

1. Claims 1-4, 7-17, 19-22, 24-27, 29, and 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hammonds et al. (WO 98/03147) in view of Sebillotte-Arnaud (US 5728389), and Potini (US 4944938).

Hammonds teaches wet wipes of fibrous sheet materials that are partially saturated with an aqueous solution comprising 0.5-50 % oat extract and 10-90% solubilizing agent. See p. 1, line 32 – p. 2, line 26; p. 7, lines 16 – 32. The wipes are used to cleanse and sooth the skin. The aqueous liquid comprises 0.5-10 % of the composition. The solution may be added to the fibrous sheet material at an add-on level of about 0.1-50 %. See instant claims 19-21 and 29. The wipes have a basis weight of 5-200 g/m. See instant claim 22. The oat extract comprises skin protectants and emollients, which conditions the skin. Propylene glycol and sorbitol are disclosed as solubilizing agents. See instant claims 7-10 and 25. The reference teaches, "the

Application/Control Number: 09/718,071

Art Unit: 1617

aqueous liquid contained within the wet wipes may also include any suitable components which provide the desired wiping properties." See p. 7, lines 18 – 21. Specifically, emollients, moisturizers, additional surfactants, and preservatives are mentioned. See p. 7, lines 21–22; instant claims 13 – 17, 26, 27. Towels are taught as another form of the product. The reference also teaches using glycerin to solubilize the oat extract without irritating the skin. While the reference teaches using surfactants, the reference fails to teach alkoxylated surfactants as claimed in the instant claim.

Sebillotte-Arnaud teaches that talloweth-60 myristyl glycol is a conventionally used nonionic surfactant in skin cleansing compositions. See Example 3.

Potini teaches that talloweth-60 myristyl glycol is a conventional water-soluble thickener well known in cosmetic art. See col. 2, lines 60-64. See instant claims 11, 12, 44, and 45.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added the talloweth-60 myristyl glycol to the lotion composition of Hammonds as motivated by Sebillotte-Arnaud and Potini because 1) Hammonds teaches to include suitable cosmetic ingredients such as additional surfactants in the aqueous liquid to provide the desired wiping properties; 2) Sebillote-Arnuad teaches that talloweth-60 myristyl glycol is an old and well known nonionic surfactant used in a skin cleanser; 3) Potini teaches that talloweth-60 myristyl glycol is also used as a water-soluble thickener in cosmetic art. The skilled artisan would have had a reasonable expectation of successfully producing a skin care composition with enhanced viscosity to make the wet wipe of Hammonds.

Art Unit: 1617

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments file December 8, 2003 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection in part, and unpersuasive in part.

The Kryzik reference is withdrawn from rejection in view of applicants' remarks.

Applicants assert that the invention in claims 1 and 22 are directed to an absorbent paper product or paper towel for drying and conditioning the skin of a user. Examiner respectfully notes that the claimed invention is in the form of "towel, wipe, or napkin" comprising a paper web and a lotion composition which comprises the recited components in the claim. The term "absorbent" describes the property of the product, thus the Hammonds' paper wipe comprising a paper web and a lotion would also have this property. The phrase 'for drying and conditioning the skin of a user" is viewed an intended use or purpose of the invention. The Hammond paper wipe nevertheless is useful for the same purposes. While Sebillote-Arnaud and Potini do not teach any paper product to be used with the compositions, Hammonds provide the teaching that I it is well known to make wet wipes by incorporating a personal care composition into a fibrous sheet material. Examiner takes the position that incorporating a well known thickener, which is talloweth-60-myristyl glycol in this case, is an obvious variation of the prior arts.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gina C. Yu whose telephone number is 571-272-0635.

Art Unit: 1617

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 8:30 AM until 6:00 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gina Yu Patent Examiner

> SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER